

GUIDELINE FOR REVIEW OF ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

SCORE GUIDE (To be used by the Referee/Reviewer)

Not Given/Not Relevant.....	0
Below Standard.....	1
Average.....	2
Satisfactory.....	3
Good.....	4
Excellent.....	5
Not Applicable.....	NA

Manuscript Reg. No

Reviewer's Name

Part No.	Description of the Part of Manuscript titled:	Score
1.	TITLE 1. Accurate..... 2. Effective..... 3. Represents.....
2.	ABSTRACT 1. Size of abstract (250) 2. Summary of Introduction /Back ground 3. Material and Methods..... 4. Results..... 5. Conclusion..... 6. Keyword (3-5).....
3.	A) CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE B) FUNDING SOURCES ACKNOWLEDGED
4.	INTRODUCTION 1. If Start Relevant..... 2. Subject of Paper Made Clear..... 3. Importance of Study Made Clear..... 4. Reasons for the Study Stated / rationale 5. International Literature of past 05 years Recorded..... 6. National Literature Reviewed and Recorded..... 7. Reference appropriate..... 8. Word count
5.	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY Are clearly stated..... Are addressed in the study.....

Part No.	Description of the Part of Manuscript	Score
6.	Material and Methods 1. Population of uniform standard..... 2. Sample selection criteria reliable..... 3. Inclusion/Exclusion criteria reliable..... 4. Control within the study or literature given..... 5. Important variable Measurement Criteria specified..... 6. Criteria to measure outcome relevant..... 7. Investigation/Procedure/Technique clearly given..... 8. Ethical permissions (IRB) informed consent mentioned
7.	Results and analysis of results 1. Presentation of results clear..... 2. Data satisfies objectives of the study..... 3. All subjects/variable in the study accounted for..... 4. Results reported the drop out (if present)..... 5. Results presented in Free..... 6. Results presented with Figures & graphs 7. Appropriate statistical tests applied..... 8. Data Analysis correctly interpreted..... 9. Level of significance (preferred less than 0.1%).....
8.	Discussion 1. Important findings and results discussed..... 2. New emerging findings discussed..... 3. Results of the study discussed with others in literature..... 4. Questions raised in the study..... 5. Strengths mentioned..... 6. Weakness mentioned 7. Take away message.....
9.	Conclusions 1. Conclusions justified by the results/findings..... 2. Conclusions relevant to the aims and objectives of the study..... 3. Conclusions based on critical argument.....
10.	Written English 1. Spellings..... 2. Grammar.....

Total: _____

REPORT (State clearly approved-advised revision rejected).....

.....

Reviewer Signature.....

Date.....